[29th January 1929

(e) The answer to the first part is in the affirmative. As regards the second part the President, Taluk Board, Hospet, and the President, District Board, Bellary, report that the inhabitants are not willing to move to a new site.

(f) In view of the answer to clause (e) the question of giving help does not arise.

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR :—" In view of the financial inability of the union board, will the Government help the union to have protected water-supply ? "

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—" Government do not propose to make out a special case for Kamalapuram."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR :—" As the scheme has been under the consideration of Government for so many years, is it not enough ground for the Government to go to the help of the union, especially as the union is not able to finance the scheme ? "

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—" In this connexion I may say that there are many other places like Kamalapuram which call for consideration."

Rao Bahadur C. S. RATNASABAPATHI MUDALIYAR :—" May I know, Sir, whether the union had agreed to the levy of a maximum cess ? "

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—" I do not know."

Government aid to Health and Welfare Associations.

* 1111 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA : Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased—

(i) to state what patronage by way of pecuniary aid or accommodation in hospitals Government have extended or propose to extend to Health and Welfare Associations ; and

(ii) to place before the Council any orders passed by Government on the subject ?

A.—(i) The following grants have been sanctioned :—

Name of association.	Grant sanctioned.	Year in which sanctioned.
	RS.	
The South Indian Health and Welfare Association ...	25,000	1920
The Madras Health Council ...	3,000	1924
Do. ...	3,000	1924
Do. ...	8,000	1925
Do. ...	5,000	1926

The following cases are under the consideration of the Government :—

	RS.	
1. The Health and Welfare Association, Tellicherry.	2,000	For the construction of a maternity ward.

29th January 1929]

	Rs.	
2. The Health Week Maternity Ward Committee, Arkonam.	4,000	For the construction of a maternity ward.
3. District Health Association, Trichinopoly.	24,000	For the construction of a building.

The Government have not generally provided any special accommodation in hospitals for Health and Welfare Associations.

(ii) The following Government Orders [a] are placed on the table :—

(1) G.O. No. 297, Finance, dated 26th March 1920,
(2) G.O. No. 25, P.H., dated 4th January 1924,
(3) G.O. No. 1848, P.H., dated 11th December 1924,
(4) G.O. No. 930, P.H., dated 4th May 1925,
(5) G.O. No. 1607, P.H., dated 5th August 1925, and
(6) G.O. No. 457, P.H., dated 18th March 1926.

Registration

Appointments to the selection grade in the Registration department.

*1112-A *Q.*—Mr. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR : Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of appointments made to the selection grade in the Registration department after G.O. No. 82, Law (Registration), dated 20th April 1928 ;

(*b*) whether any, and if so how many, of these appointments were made overlooking the claims of senior men in office ; and

(*c*) the reason why the claims of such senior men were overlooked ?

A.—(*a*) Thirty.

(*b*) & (*c*) Nobody's claims were overlooked.

Mr. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR :—" Will the hon. the Minister tell us how many of the appointments referred to in clause (*b*) were made over the heads of senior men ? "

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—" A table of the appointments was laid on the table of the House and a reference to it will show what it is."

Mr. K. R. KARANT :—" May I ask, whether it is not a fact that certain officers who were acting as District Registrars were superseded ? "

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—" I may say that nobody has been superseded."

Mr. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR :—" May I know if any of these men were found disqualified for that office and were superseded ? "

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—" Certain persons were found disqualified and they were superseded."

Mr. K. MADHAVAN NAYAR :—" Certain members might have been disqualified, but may I know whether any person who was not disqualified was superseded ? Was it only on the question of merit that these appointments were made ? "

---

[a] Printed as Appendix VI on pages 293–298 infra.